**ECF Arbiter Assessors’ Report Form**

Candidate Candidate Grading Reference

Assessor Candidate Membership Number

Tournament Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_ Number of Players/Rounds

**1. Image & Appearance (one mark for each, 4 marks max)**

+1 The Arbiter has a professional appearance.

+1 The Arbiter is visible to the players and available to make decisions when required.

+1 The Arbiter is seen to be observing games in progress

+1 The Arbiter works collaboratively with other members of the Arbiter team, and communicates effectively with them towards the smooth running of the event.

**2. Decision Making (select single highest mark category where all conditions apply)**

0 The Arbiter appears indecisive and hesitant; decisions are unconvincing.

1 The Arbiter appears indecisive and hesitant, requiring assistance or support to make correct decisions in accordance with the Laws of Chess or Tournament Regulations.

2 The Arbiter appears indecisive and hesitant, but is able to make correct decisions in accordance with the Laws of Chess or Tournament Regulations.

3 The Arbiter makes decisive, considered and correct decisions in accordance with the Laws of Chess or Tournament Regulations

4 The Arbiter makes decisive, considered and correct decisions in accordance with the Laws of Chess or Tournament Regulations, without favour or bias to individual players. The Arbiter is able to communicate these decisions effectively to the players.

**3. Playing Conditions (select single highest mark category where all conditions apply)**

0 The Arbiter is a source of noise in the playing area and unnecessarily distracts players.

1 The Arbiter takes action when a player requests that measures are taken to improve playing conditions.

2 The Arbiter proactively takes action to minimise the amount of noise in the playing area.

3 The Arbiter proactively takes measures to improve playing conditions for the players in the playing area. Effort is made to minimise the noise in areas immediately outside the playing area, and to ensure that conditions for play within the playing area remain near optimal.

4 The Arbiter proactively takes measures to minimise actual and potential distractions in and around the playing area, and ensures the playing area remains quiet. Potential problems are being foreseen and steps are taken to minimise any potential disruption.

**4. Pairings & Results (select single highest mark category where all conditions apply)**

0 The Arbiter makes several errors in recording the results and producing the pairings.

1 The Arbiter makes very few errors in recording the results and producing the pairings.

2 The Arbiter makes few errors in recording the results and producing the pairings. Measures were taken to check the results and pairings in advance of publication. The Arbiter is confident in their ability to use FIDE-approved Pairing Software.

3 The Arbiter made no mistakes in recording the results and producing the pairings. Measures were taken to check the results and pairings in advance of publication. If asked, the Arbiter is able to explain to players the reason for a particular pairing, in accordance with the pairing rules being used at that competition.

4 The Arbiter made no mistakes in recording the results and producing the pairings. Measures were taken to check the results and pairings in advance of publication. If asked, the Arbiter is able to explain to players the reason for a particular pairing, in accordance with the pairing rules being used at that competition. The arbiter, using software, is able to produce FIDE and/or ECF grading files at the conclusion of the event.

**5. Anti-Cheating measures (select single highest mark category where all conditions apply)**

0 The Arbiter appears to take no steps to ensure that the players will not be able to cheat.

1 Anti-cheating protocols are in place, but are not consistently enforced by the Arbiter.

2 The Arbiter is able to insist that players conform to basic anti-cheating protocols e.g. regarding mobile telephones and wristwatches.

3 The Arbiter is able to insist that the players and spectators conform to basic anti-cheating protocols. The Arbiter can demonstrate the use of a hand-held scanner at the start of a round in an effective, undisruptive, manner.

4 The Arbiter is able to insist that the players and spectators conform to anti-cheating protocols. The Arbiter makes appropriate use of a hand-held scanner. The arbiter is aware of, and enforces, appropriate anti-cheating measures.

**Further Comments on sections above, and areas for development**

**I recommend that the arbiter is awarded a Level 2 norm.**

**Signed** **Total Mark /20** **Date** / /